

## Acknowledgements

The very existence of this book at a busy and uncertain time in my life is a miracle brought about by those people who love and support me in everyday life. I am indebted to the institutional support of the Women and Public Policy Program and the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs at the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University, of the University of Southern California, of Brandeis University, of Boston College Law School, and of the University of California at Santa Barbara.

My deepest thanks are owed to Dr. Ann Tickner, my advisor and mentor in what seems like too little time in graduate school, looking back. When I enter-graduate school, I had expectations, which, like others, were unrealistic; the reality of Dr. Tickner as an advisor was far superior to any of them. My dissertation and this book which revises it happened only because she was willing to praise me when I was working and call my bluff when I was not. I have only the deepest gratitude, personally and professionally, for getting to work with her, a privilege which I cannot imagine being qualified for but nonetheless enjoyed immensely and learned from intensely.

Dr. Hayward Alker challenges me to explore things I do not understand, forcing me to become better-read to keep up in a conversation, and inspiring me to use the diversity of my interest for focus rather than distraction. Colleagues from outside of the field of political science, including Dr. Alice Gambrell (English), Chris Young (Philosophy) and Sharon Beckman (Law) ask questions that I had never thought about and teach me about the interdisciplinary nature of feminist thought. Dr. Nicholas Onuf has been a friend and confidant as well as a critic/advisor to me both on this project and on others that I have worked on. His perspective, his brilliance, and experience have on more than one occasion com-

pletely saved me, both academically and personally. Jessica Gribble and Katie Funk at Rowman and Littlefield/Lexington have had amazing patience in putting up with my endless questions about this process.

I further owe debt to those people who I love who have supported me throughout the sometimes-tense process of getting my Ph.D. I am thankful to Chris Marcoux, whose intellectual contribution to this project takes a backseat only to his personal contribution. Chris has been my intellectual conscience, my spell-checker, my cite-database, and my sounding board from start to finish; an accomplishment only overshadowed by his love and support. I am also thankful to Matthew Gealy, with whom and because of whom I decided to pursue a career in academia. His love and support for me made me better, stronger, and smarter. My family and friends have been there for me when I needed it; they are the most important thing in my life. My two Chihuahua puppies, April and Gizmo, remind me that there's only so much stress you can feel with a three-pound puppy on your shoulder licking your ear.

I would also be remiss if I did not thank those who have been a big part of my life outside of academia the last few years. Alternative sources of income and office space, including Mark and Maz at Buerge Ford in West Los Angeles, California; Rob and Andy at Lee Volvo in Wellesley, Massachusetts gave me a lot of confidence and helped keep my attention span in check. The Boston Debate League reminds me why I am committed to critical activism.

Last, but certainly not least, are the remainder of my academic support team. Dr. Geoffrey Wiseman and Dr. Rob English were excellent advisors both in that capacity and as they guided me through graduate school. My colleagues from ISA-West: Fran Pilch and Jon Strand of old, and Amy, Theo, Lisa, and David, of late, have invigorated my work. Dr. Helen Kinsella's work and work ethic inspired me at a critical juncture in graduate school; Lauren Wilcox has reminded me that what I do really is alive in the field of IR. Several of my students have policed the readability of my work and the clarity of my thought, including Akash Khokar, Maggie Frye, Melissa Wortman, Jessica Herman, and Alana Day.

My interest in this topic started as a college debate case, so I owe debt to the CEDA/NDT Debate community as a whole, and to the people on the University of Chicago Debate Team with whom I had many long and late-night conversations about feminism, war, and 'rogue nations' – specifically, Lea Bishop, Bill Brayer, and Andrew Brokos. The graduate student community at the University of Southern California has made my work stronger and increased my enthusiasm for doing it. Specifically, I am grateful for their criticism and inspiration to Paul Levin, Pak Tang, Eric Blanchard, Sinan Birdal, Yong Wok Lee, Lyn Boyd, Catia Confortini, Brad Meyers, Sara Sulaiman, John Williamson, Leslie Wirpsa, and Pavel Nitkin. A number of members of the academic political science community have contributed discussions, notes, readings, advice, or critique to this project in a way that has been indispensable to its development. These scholars include Sally Ruddick, Susan Northcutt, Caron Gentry, Judith Stiehm, Christine Sylvestre, Marysia Zalewski, Francine D'Amico, Clifton Morgan, Daniel

Drezner, George Lopez, Lucinda Peach, Brooke Ackerly, Spike Peterson, Iris Young, Theresa Lund, Peter Rosendorff, Charles Lipson, Todd Sandler, Dave Andrus, Carol Cohn, Steve Lamy, Richard Ashley, John Odell, Carolyn Cartier, Dave Collier, and David Dessler; to all of whom I owe substantial debt. Finally, I owe thanks to those who have been overwhelmingly negative about the subject-matter of my academic work. While it would be in poor taste to list them by name, the people who called my work “an example of incommensurability” or “not political science” reminded me why this project was worthwhile, and were ultimately the fuel for its completion.